

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,164	07/23/2003	Eddie Reed	27497/2002	8006
	7590 04/03/200 EIN NATH & ROSEN	EXAMINER		
FOR PAULA EVANS P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL			3736	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/625,164	REED ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			,				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja	anuary 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 8-34 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-24 is/are withdrawn	n from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>25-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers		·				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2006 is/are: a)	□ accepted or b)⊠ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119 <u>(</u> a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	plication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	,			
	application from the International Bureau	, , , , ,	,				
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
1 tto a h a	4/0)						
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sui	mman (PTO 413)				
	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application _·				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Notice of Amendment**

1. In response to the amendment filed on 04/19/2006, canceled claim(s) 1-8, and new claim(s) 9-34 is/are acknowledged. The current rejections of the claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdrawn. The following new and reiterated grounds of rejection are set forth:

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III in the reply filed on 01/12/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be an undue burden to examine the claims of Group I and Group II with the claims of Group III. This is not found persuasive because as broadly as structurally claimed Groups I and II may be used for materially different processes as recited in the previous Office Action.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 3. Applicant's election with traverse of Species B in the reply filed on 01/12/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that both Species A and B encompass polypeptide detection. This is found persuasive and the Election of Species requirement is withdrawn.
- 4. Claims 8-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 01/12/2007.

. Art Unit: 3736

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. This application contains claims 8-24 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 20070112. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

# **Drawings**

- 7. The drawings were received on 04/19/2006. These drawings are --not acceptable--.
- 8. The drawings are objected to because they appear to by copies of color photographs (see paragraph 9) and they are illegible informal images. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes

Art Unit: 3736

made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

9. Color photographs and color drawings are not accepted unless a petition filed under 37 CFR 1.84(a)(2) is granted. Any such petition must be accompanied by the appropriate fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h), three sets of color drawings or color photographs, as appropriate, and, unless already present, an amendment to include the following language as the first paragraph of the brief description of the drawings section of the specification:

The patent or application file contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent or patent application publication with color drawing(s) will be provided by the Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.

Color photographs will be accepted if the conditions for accepting color drawings and black and white photographs have been satisfied: See 37 CFR 1.84(b)(2).

## Specification

10. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,164 Page 5

Art Unit: 3736

## Claim Objections

11. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim does not appear to be a complete sentence as it is lacking the positive recitation of "and" between steps (a) and (b). Appropriate correction is required.

12. Claim 34 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim --should refer to other claims in the alternative only--. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 14. Claims 25-27 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fournier (US 6,155,990) as broadly as claimed.
- 15. Fournier discloses a method for detecting human papilloma virus (HPV) in a vaginal specimen by obtaining a vaginal specimen containing few endocervical cells and using a DNA probe to detect the presence of HPV (column 2 line 65), said method comprising:
- obtaining said vaginal specimen using a device comprising a collection element (as best seen in Figures 1-3) comprising an inner tube (4) and a brush (2) attached to

Art Unit: 3736

said inner tube, and an outer tube (1) or shield surrounding said collection element and

 detecting the presence of HPV in said vaginal specimen via microbiologic assay (column 3, line 3).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 18. Claims 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fournier in view of Zavada et al (2003/0049828). Fournier discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing specimen testing including (a) extracting DNA and amplifying HPV nucleic acid to detect the presence of HPV and (b) contacting the

Art Unit: 3736

specimen with a multiple polypeptides that bind to a HPV antibody or protein and subsequent detection of the bound antibody or protein in the specimen. Zavada et al teaches specimen testing including (a) extracting DNA and amplifying HPV nucleic acid (paragraphs 33-34) to detect the presence of HPV and (b) contacting the specimen with a multiple polypeptides that bind to a HPV antibody (paragraph 35) or protein (paragraph 40) and subsequent detection of HPV (paragraph 17) in the specimen. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method as taught by Fournier, with the method as taught by Zavada et al for the purpose of increasing the detection of HPV screening by detecting cervical cancerous conditions using antibody and protein binding and detection.

## Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

20. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/625,164

Art Unit: 3736

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey G. Hoekstra whose telephone number is (571)272-7232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F. Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H